SPECIAL ARTICLES

Management of Side Effects of Oral Appliance Therapy for Sleep-Disordered Breathing

Rose D. Sheats, DMD¹; Thomas G. Schell, DMD²; Alan O. Blanton, DDS³; Patricia M. Braga, DDS⁴; B. Gail Demko, DMD⁵; Leslie C. Dort, DDS⁶; Donald Farquhar, DDS⁷; Sheri G. Katz, DDS⁸; Jean-Francois Masse, DMD⁹; Robert R. Rogers, DMD¹⁰; Steven C. Scherr, DDS¹¹; David B. Schwartz, DDS¹²; Jamison Spencer, DMD, MS¹³

¹Chapel Hill, North Carolina; ²Lebanon, New Hampshire; ³Memphis, Tennessee; ⁴Inver Grove Heights, Minnesota; ⁵Grand Blanc, Michigan; ⁶Calgary, Alberta, Canada; ⁷Midland, Ontario, Canada; ⁸Atlanta, Georgia; ⁹Quebec City, Quebec, Canada; ¹⁰Wexford, Pennsylvania; ¹¹Pikesville, Maryland; ¹²Skokie, Illinois; ¹³Raleigh, North Carolina

As the field of oral appliance therapy (OAT) to manage obstructive sleep apnea has evolved over the past 30 years, side effects of therapy have become increasingly recognized. Although the most commonly observed side effect is unwanted tooth movement, a number of other side effects have been reported through anecdotes, case reports, and observational studies. Members of the American Academy of Dental Sleep Medicine developed a set of consensus recommendations to guide dentists in the management of side effects as a consequence of OAT. Thirteen expert clinicians were appointed to the panel, which used the modified RAND/UCLA Appropriateness Method to review the body of evidence on OAT side effects and to establish the recommendations. Clinicians are encouraged to use these recommendations in conjunction with their clinical expertise to minimize the side effects of OAT. The recommendations are based on knowledge to date and are expected to evolve over time. Future research should aim at timely identification of these side effects for positive treatment outcomes.

KEYWORDS: malocclusion, mandibular advancement, mandibular repositioning, mouth diseases and therapeutics, oral device, orthodontic appliance, sleep apnea (obstructive and snoring), tooth disease

CITATION: Sheats RD, Schell TG, Blanton AO, Braga PM, Demko BG, Dort LC, Farquhar D, Katz SG, Masse JF, Rogers RR, Scherr SC, Schwartz DB, Spencer J. Management of side effects of oral appliance therapy for sleep-disordered breathing. *Journal of Dental Sleep Medicine*. 2017;4(4):111–125.

INTRODUCTION

The American Academy of Dental Sleep Medicine (AADSM) and American Academy of Sleep Medicine recently updated their clinical practice guideline for the treatment of obstructive sleep apnea (OSA) and snoring with oral appliance therapy (OAT).¹ The guideline included the following recommendation: "We suggest that qualified dentists provide oversight—rather than no follow-up—of oral appliance therapy in adult patients with obstructive sleep apnea, to survey for dental-related side effects or occlusal changes and reduce their incidence."

The management of side effects is essential to maximize treatment adherence and the clinical effectiveness of oral appliances. The guideline further states that although multiple manuscripts refer to side effects, the overall evidence is limited and of low quality.

The field of dental sleep medicine lacks a set of published guidelines that clinicians and dentists can refer to for the management of side effects associated with OAT. Most of the information available to clinicians is derived from individual lecturers and is anecdotal. In an effort to begin to address this gap in knowledge, the AADSM Board of Directors convened a panel of experts to develop consensus-based recommendations for managing the most common side effects encountered in OAT.

BACKGROUND

OSA has a reported prevalence of 2% to 8% in older literature, with more recent estimates suggesting that more than 18 million adults in the United States have sleep apnea, a leading cause of excessive daytime sleepiness. An oral appliance, while effective in ameliorating the respiratory events of OSA, often causes alterations in occlusal (tooth) contacts and mandibular positioning as well as other side effects. During the Advanced Course in Oral Appliance Therapy in 2009, the AADSM first catalogued some of these side effects and proposed solutions for their management. This was originally published in *Dialogue* and was considered a work in progress.²

The purpose of this consensus paper is to update those recommendations and to develop a touchstone reference for practitioners and researchers seeking guidance on the management of side effects of OAT for sleep-disordered breathing.

METHODS

Expert Panel Selection

In accordance with the recommendations of the RAND Appropriateness Method,³ the Consensus Conference panel comprised 13 voting members. All panel members were dentists who were trained and experienced in the overall care of oral health, the temporomandibular joint (TMJ), dental occlusion, and associated oral structures with focused emphasis on the proper protocol for diagnosis, treatment,

and follow-up of patients being managed with OAT for sleepdisordered breathing. All panelists were required to complete conflicts of interest disclosures before being officially invited to participate.

In addition, the American Academy of Sleep Medicine, the American Dental Association, and the American Dental Education Association were invited to identify a representative of their respective associations to attend the consensus conference as nonparticipating observers. These observers were permitted to pose questions during the conference but did not participate in the voting or the development of the recommendations.

Literature Search and Review

A literature search was performed using a combination of keywords and Medical Subject Heading terms in PubMed. Disorder-related keywords used were sleep apnea, obstructive and snoring, tooth disease, malocclusion, mouth diseases, and therapeutics. These were combined with treatment keywords including mandibular advancement, mandibular repositioning, oral device, and orthodontic appliance. The search strategy was limited to humans and articles in English. Search results were retrieved for literature published through February 23, 2016, resulting in a total of 181 articles. The panelists reviewed the abstracts to identify articles that included side effects of OAT for sleep-disordered breathing and treatment options to manage side effects. Articles that were not relevant were discarded. The panel also conducted a "spot check" of the literature in June 2016 to identify missing publications. The final number of articles accepted in support of this endeavor was 143.

The full text of all accepted publications was made available to the panel members for review.

Survey of American Board of Dental Sleep Medicine Diplomates and AADSM Committee Members

Concurrent with the literature review, a comprehensive list of the side effects and possible treatment options was developed. Because knowledge about oral appliances varies among providers, an online survey of dentists was conducted to ensure that common side effects and possible treatment strategies were not overlooked.

The survey was designed to capture the percentage of patients in each respondent's practice who were managed with OAT, the common side effects encountered with OAT, the frequency of each of these side effects, and commonly used treatment options to manage each side effect.

In late summer of 2016, the survey was sent to all Diplomates of the American Board of Dental Sleep Medicine and all AADSM committee members: 149 of 295 (51%) responded to the survey; 113 (76% of respondents) submitted complete responses and 36 (24% of respondents) submitted partial responses. All responses were reviewed, whether or not the entire survey was completed.

Survey responses were used in conjunction with relevant literature to inform the panel during the voting process (described in the next paragraphs). To facilitate the literature review, panel discussion, and voting, the side effects were assigned to 1 of 6 groups: (1) TMJ-related side effects, (2) intraoral tissue-related side effects, (3) cephalometric changes, (4) occlusal changes, (5) damage to teeth or restorations, and (6) appliance issues.

Modified RAND Appropriateness Method

The RAND Appropriateness Method³ uses a detailed search of the relevant scientific literature, followed by 2 rounds of anonymous voting by panelists, to arrive at consensus on the appropriateness of a treatment. For this conference, panelists voted on the appropriateness of each treatment recommendation proposed for all side effects. The first round of voting was conducted via email prior to the face-to-face conference. The second round of voting occurred at the conference after discussion of the available evidence and round 1 voting results. In a modification of RAND Appropriateness Method, the panel completed a third round of voting to rate the priority level of all treatment options that the panel agreed were "appropriate" in round 2 voting.

Round 1 Voting

Prior to the conference, panel members independently reviewed the accepted publications and the results of the online survey. Based on their review of this material and their clinical expertise, each member voted to indicate level of agreement with the following statement: "Based on the available evidence, [Treatment option] is appropriate to manage [Side effect] in patients using oral appliances." Each panel member expressed their level of agreement with each statement using a 9-point Likert scale where 1 meant "strongly disagree," 5 meant "neither disagree nor agree," and 9 meant "strongly agree."

Median values of panel scores were calculated for each treatment option according to the following categories: scores of 1–3 indicated *inappropriateness* of the treatment option, scores 4–6 described *uncertainty* about the appropriateness of the treatment option, and scores 7–9 signified *appropriateness* of the treatment option. Panel agreement occurred when at least 10 panelists voted within a single category.

For this initial round of voting, panel members were instructed not to discuss the evidence or their votes with one another to ensure independence and anonymity.

Conference Proceedings: Voting Rounds 2 and 3

At the conference, panelists reviewed the results of round 1 voting for each treatment option proposed for each side effect and discussed the available evidence and their clinical experience in treating each side effect. During these discussions, panelists agreed that Cephalometric Changes should be dropped as a category of changes. The 2 side effects included in this category were "increased facial height" and "altered mandibular position." The results of the online survey conducted prior to the consensus conference suggested to the panel that few practitioners note these side effects, and panelists speculated that clinicians do not routinely obtain or analyze lateral cephalograms. Furthermore, the cephalometric changes documented are most likely a manifestation of occlusal changes that result from OAT, rather than separate and independent side effects.

At the conclusion of each discussion, panelists completed round 2 voting for all treatment options proposed for each of the side effects, following the same procedures as round 1 voting. Only those treatment options for which the panel agreed was appropriate in round 2 voting were retained in the final recommendations. Panel agreement on treatment options whose median scores fell into the inappropriate or uncertain categories, were dropped from further consideration.

A third round of voting was conducted to categorize the treatment options retained after round 2 voting as a "first-line," "second-line," or "uncommon but appropriate" treatment option for each side effect. The "uncommon but appropriate" category was created to acknowledge the possibility that, in infrequent circumstances, an "uncommon" treatment option would be indicated only after the other treatment options were either ineffective, exhausted, or not appropriate for that specific patient.

Development of Recommendations

Upon completion of round 3 voting, the panel members discussed the voting results and developed the recommendations. The final recommendations were submitted to the AADSM Board of Directors for endorsement.

In view of the availability of many titratable oral appliances (degree of protrusion and other settings) this document should not be considered a comprehensive or exhaustive list of side effects or corresponding options for treating the side effects secondary to OAT.

It is expected that these guidelines will be most beneficial to the novice practitioner in the field of dental sleep medicine and will serve to highlight the breadth of adverse effects of OAT and to provide strategies for managing them. In developing these recommendations, the panel was careful to consider various clinical scenarios but elected to address the most common situations, rather than the most esoteric, that clinicians would encounter. The panelists stress that this document should be used in conjunction with the clinical expertise of the practicing dentist and that individual patient needs may necessitate deviation from these recommendations.

RECOMMENDATIONS

Prior to initiating OAT, the treating dentist should document pretreatment tooth positions with baseline records including dental casts, intraoral photographs, and a record of occlusal relationships. Patients must be informed of potential side effects prior to initiating treatment and informed consent must be secured.

Side effects must be assessed and recorded at all follow-up visits, including occurrence, management, and/or resolution. The dentist should refer to the baseline records to identify changes in tooth position and should immediately disclose to the patient such changes and their possible consequences. Other patient concerns should be noted and managed accordingly. If the patient expresses discomfort with continuing OAT, discussion regarding alternative treatment options should occur and be documented.

If the recommendation is made to permanently discontinue OAT, this decision should be made in consultation with the

Table 1—Side effects.

Temporomandibular joint-related side effects Transient morning jaw pain Persistent temporomandibular joint pain Tenderness in muscles of mastication Joint sounds Intraoral tissue-related side effects Soft tissue and tongue irritation Gingival irritation • Excessive salivation/drooling Dry mouth **Occlusal changes** · Altered occlusal contacts/bite changes Incisor changes Decreased overjet and overbite Alterations in position of mandibular canines and molars Interproximal gaps Damage to teeth or restorations Tooth mobility Tooth fractures or damage to dental restorations **Appliance issues** Appliance breakage Allergies to appliance material Gagging Anxiety

local treating physician to ensure that adequate alternative therapy is available to manage OSA.

The following side effects and their recommended treatment options are grouped according to similarity in type (see Table 1).

In addition to the tailored treatment options recommended for each side effect, the panel recognized that a set of common management techniques should be considered as well, often as first-line therapy. These common techniques are summarized in the following paragraphs, and are identified in the following recommendations when appropriate.

Common Management Considerations

A number of treatment modalities have utility across a broad spectrum of known oral appliance side effects. For consistency and clarity, these are described as follows:

Palliative Care

Palliative care is supportive in nature and intended to manage patient discomfort during the healing phase. It may include any/all of the following options: reassurance, rest, ice, soft diet, topical or systemic pain relief products or anti-inflammatory medication, massage and physiotherapy.

Watchful Waiting

Watchful waiting is the ongoing process of careful and diligent observation, with the possibility of additional assessment along the way, in an effort to better understand the side-effect process. Documentation of findings must be included in the patient's record, and follow-up of concerns at subsequent visits should occur and be recorded regarding persistence, resolution, or management of side effects.

Morning Occlusal Guide

Morning occlusal guide encompasses many custom-made appliances and prefabricated devices used in the effort to

reposition the mandible into its habitual pretreatment position. These devices may function by utilizing biting force to re-seat the condyles to help reestablish/maintain the appropriate occlusal relationship in the morning following each night of OAT. Some of these custom devices may function by reversing changes that may have occurred in tooth position or work to exercise or stretch muscles of mastication as well. They are intended to address the occlusal discrepancy noted after removal of the oral appliance each morning.

Before the patient begins using the oral appliance, the morning occlusal guide is fabricated chairside or by a laboratory, and is often made of hard acrylic, thermoplastic, or compressible materials. The guide must be adapted to the patient's maxillary and mandibular teeth in habitual occlusion, or to dental casts in maximum intercuspation.

Intended to address the occlusal discrepancy noted after removal of the oral appliance each morning, morning occlusal guides also help patients to monitor their condition by allowing them to ascertain whether their mandible is correctly aligned every morning. Each morning after the sleep appliance is worn, the patient should bite into the guide until the maxillary and mandibular teeth are fully seated for as long as it takes the teeth to re-establish occlusion. In the event that the patient is unable to attain proper habitual occlusion, the patient should contact the oral appliance provider.

Daytime Intraoral Orthotic

The daytime intraoral orthotic encompasses many custommade appliances and prefabricated devices that are retained by either the maxillary or mandibular dentition/implants. These devices are intended to deprogram masticatory muscles, re-seat the mandibular condyles, and/or reduce the magnitude and frequency of bruxism events as well as its consequences. Distinctive from the morning occlusal guide, this device is intended for more active therapy of preexisting or iatrogenically created conditions affecting the TMJ or the masticatory musculature.

Verification and/or Correction of Midline Position

Verification and/or correction of midline position describes an effort to ascertain and maintain the appropriate lateral position of the mandible in its forward position, often similar in lateral dimensions to the nonprotruded (non-treatment) position.

Verification and/or Correction of Occlusion

Verification and/or correction of occlusion describes an effort to ascertain balanced occlusal forces on the oral appliance both bilaterally and anteriorly-posteriorly. This balance may be altered as the mandibular position is advanced or as muscles alternatively relax or contract with use. This may also encompass consideration of changes to the vertical dimension of the oral appliance.

Habitual Occlusion

Habitual occlusion refers to the position of closure between the dental arches in which the patient feels the teeth fit most comfortably with minimal feeling of stress in the muscles and joints.

Note: The term "habitual occlusion" refers to the patient's most comfortable position of jaw closure at any specific time. Many terms have been used to describe the interarch relationship of the maxilla and mandible, often with the intent of providing a reproducible position for restorative purposes. Terms such as centric relation, centric occlusion, maximum intercuspation position, bite of convenience, and intercuspation position have also been used. This paper favors the term "habitual occlusion" because as many as 85% of patients using OAT for more than 5 years demonstrate altered occlusal relationships from baseline.⁴

Isometric and Passive Jaw Stretching Exercises

Isometric and passive jaw stretching exercises include instructing patients to move the mandible against resistance both vertically and laterally and to stretch the mandibular range of motion assisted by the fingers, targeting the masticatory muscles. Examples would include instructing a patient to move the mandible against gentle resistance both vertically and laterally within their physiologic range of motion and using finger pressure to stretch the lateral pterygoid, temporalis, and masseter muscles. These have been shown to decrease the level of discomfort and improve adherence to OAT.⁵ Duration and frequency of exercises will be dependent on the ease with which the patient is able to reestablish occlusion.

Conservative Titration

Conservative titration refers to the minimum amount of advancement of the appliance required to manage sleepdisordered breathing. Aarab et al. demonstrated that the number of side effects increases as protrusion exceeds 50%.⁶ Moreover, research reveals that both 50% and 75% protrusion can be equally effective in groups of patients with mild to moderate OSA.⁷

Side Effects

The following subsections list each side effect, grouped by category, and describe the recommendations that the panel put forth to manage each one.

Temporomandibular Joint-Related Side Effects

Note: Several online survey respondents mentioned the terms "TMJ degeneration" and "myofascial pain" as potential side effects. A careful review of the literature revealed no instances where these side effects were verifiably reported to have occurred. Furthermore, the panel found that oftentimes in the literature, the terms myofascial pain, myalgia, muscle pain, and muscle tenderness were used interchangeably. It must be noted that these terms often have specific diagnostic criteria and are often used with various definitions across disciplines (physical therapy, physical medicine, etc.). Inaccurate or improper use of these terms in the sleep apnea oral appliance literature has led to confusion regarding diagnosis, prevalence, and management of these conditions among oral appliance users.

Transient Morning Jaw Pain

"Watchful waiting, palliative care, isometric contraction and passive jaw exercise, and decreasing the titration rate are considered first-line treatments to manage transient jaw pain." Transient jaw pain includes pain or discomfort occurring in the morning upon oral appliance removal that disappears spontaneously during the day or with prescribed exercises or techniques. It also refers to pain or discomfort of short duration, generally less than a few weeks, that might occur intermittently during use of an oral appliance but more likely during acclimation and titration stages. It is considered to be mild in nature, originating in muscles of mastication and unlikely to cause OAT abandonment.

First-line treatment is usually conservative. Watchful waiting or active surveillance entails that the dental provider rule out pain or dysfunction originating in the TMJ and monitor the patient for a worsening of symptoms. Palliative care, in addition to the options mentioned in the Common Management Considerations section, will include patient reassurance that symptoms are likely to decrease, muscle massage, application of heat, and relaxation techniques.^{8,9} Isometric contraction and passive jaw exercise⁹ may be employed in an effort to alleviate muscle tenderness by a variety of techniques. Decreasing the rate of advancement may also be helpful in improving symptoms.⁶

Symptoms of pain or discomfort that continue or worsen through the day, last more than a few weeks, or interfere with a patient's normal daily function should be considered persistent and may hinder long-term adherence to OAT.

Persistent Temporomandibular Joint Pain

"Palliative care, isometric contraction and passive jaw stretching exercises, verifying or correcting midline positions, appliance adjustment, decreasing the titration rate, decreasing advancement, and conducting a temporomandibular disorder work-up and management are considered first-line treatments to manage persistent temporomandibular joint pain. Placing posterior stops or anterior discluding elements, decreasing wearing time and temporarily discontinuing use of oral appliance therapy are considered second-line treatments. If these treatment options are insufficient or inappropriate, using a daytime intraoral orthotic, prescribing a steroid dose pack, recommending a different oral appliance design, referring to a dental specialist or additional health care provider, and permanently discontinuing oral appliance therapy may also be appropriate."

It is important to document the findings at the initial presentation of persistent joint pain and then at each subsequent visit until symptoms resolve. Reassurance to the patient is essential, as most studies have found that TMJ pain and discomfort—both baseline discomfort and discomfort associated with oral appliance use—decrease with continued oral appliance use.⁹⁻¹²

Palliative care for persistent TMJ pain includes resting the joints as much as possible, intermittently applying ice to the affected joints and adopting a soft diet until the pain resolves. The judicious use of anti-inflammatory and pain medication may aid with resolution. Isometric contraction and passive jaw stretching exercises may be beneficial.

Maxillary and mandibular midlines may not be coincident when the patient protrudes without the appliance. It is important to verify that the midline relationship when the appliance is fully seated matches the relationship when the patient protrudes without the oral appliance. Oral appliances that have independent right and left side advancement mechanisms may be adjusted if necessary to re-establish the midline relationship or to provide relief of symptoms. If the TMJ pain is unilateral, decreasing the advancement on the affected side may help. If the dentist is not able to resolve the cause of the persistent TMJ pain, it may be advisable to conduct a thorough examination for TMJ disorder to identify the cause of the pain, with documentation of both muscle and joint function and levels of discomfort during palpation, function, and movement.

Decreasing the advancement rate may facilitate TMJ accommodation to the repositioned mandible. If the appliance has already been advanced to maximum protrusive position, reducing the amount of advancement may be beneficial. Aarab et al. reported that tenderness in muscles of mastication was more prevalent at 50% and 75% maximum protrusion than at 25% maximum protrusion. However, this approach must be balanced against decreasing the optimal therapeutic effect.⁶

Second-line treatment includes the addition of posterior acrylic stops that may increase patient comfort in appliance designs whose contact is otherwise limited to the anterior region. An anterior stop that produces posterior disclusion may be added to appliance designs with flat contact of the maxillary and mandibular elements.

Additional second-line treatment includes instructing the patient to decrease their time wearing the oral appliance. Decreased wearing time may mean wearing the appliance fewer hours each night or fewer nights per week. In the case of severe pain that is affecting the patient's quality of life and sleep, temporary discontinuation of the appliance may be indicated.

If TMJ pain persists despite the aforementioned measures, it may be appropriate to recommend a different oral appliance design. If the existing appliance rigidly holds the mandible, a design that facilitates more jaw movement may improve the pain. Conversely, some patients may benefit from a more rigid design if the existing design permits too much freedom of movement. Refractory temporomandibular symptoms related to OAT are uncommon. These patients may sometimes benefit from a daytime intraoral orthotic and/or referral to a dental practitioner with advanced education in facial pain disorders.

Appropriate options in occasional circumstances include the use of steroid packs or permanent discontinuation of OAT. A steroid pack may be recommended for limited use and in accordance with pharmacologic recommendations. The decision to permanently discontinue oral appliance use is a collaborative decision that should include the patient's local treating physician to ensure that adequate alternative therapy is available.

Tenderness in Muscles of Mastication

"Palliative care, watchful waiting, verifying or correcting midline positions, use of a morning occlusal guide, and isometric contraction and passive jaw stretching exercises are considered first-line treatments to manage tenderness in the muscles of mastication. Decreasing oral appliance advancement, vertical dimension, and the rate of forward titration, modifying the acrylic, and temporarily discontinuing use of oral appliance therapy are considered secondline treatments. If these treatment options are insufficient or inappropriate, recommending a different oral appliance design, referring to a dental specialist or additional health care provider, and permanently discontinuing oral appliance therapy may also be appropriate. In very rare instances, increasing oral appliance advancement may be indicated."

Initial care is usually conservative. Palliative care, in addition to the options mentioned in the Common Management Considerations section, includes muscle massage, application of heat, and relaxation techniques. If inflammation is suspected, the application of cold packs to the affected area may be helpful. Watchful waiting may also be an appropriate first-line treatment. The verification and/or correction of midline position may allow for a more comfortable position for the muscles and other soft tissues. Pain or dysfunction may be attributed to an imbalance in the protractive forces particularly when using an appliance where two separate lateral titration mechanisms are utilized. A morning occlusal guide as described under the Common Management Considerations section may also be considered as an adjunctive therapy to help with muscle tenderness. Isometric and passive jaw stretching exercises may be employed in an effort to alleviate muscle tenderness.

If tenderness in the muscles of mastication continues despite the aforementioned measures, second-line treatments include decreasing the rate of forward titration, decreasing oral appliance advancement, reducing vertical dimension, modification of the acrylic, and temporarily discontinuing use of OAT. A decrease in the titration rate may be appropriate if the optimal mandibular position has not yet been attained. Chen et al. investigated side effects of the Klearway appliance and noted that muscle tenderness in the lateral pterygoid region was more common during the active titration phase.¹³ Therefore, it may be beneficial to advance the appliance at a rate lower than usually prescribed. For example, if the patient is instructed to advance the appliance 0.25 mm twice a week, it may be helpful to decrease the advancement to 0.25 mm once a week.

If the appliance has already been advanced to maximum protrusive position, reducing the amount of advancement may be beneficial. Aarab et al. reported that tenderness in muscles of mastication was more prevalent at 50% and 75% maximum protrusion than at 25% maximum protrusion. However, this approach must be balanced against decreasing the optimal therapeutic effect.⁶

Another option to consider is to decrease the vertical dimension of the appliance by judicious adjustment of the acrylic on the occlusal surfaces. With the aid of articulating paper, even contact is verified on all occlusal surfaces after the vertical dimension has been reduced. Acrylic modifications to appliances with dorsal "fins" include reducing the lingual aspect of the fins. This may serve to permit more lateral movement and decrease muscle tenderness.

In order to alleviate persistent muscle tenderness, it may be necessary to temporarily discontinue use of the mandibular advancement appliance until inflammation subsides. Palliative measures, as described previously, may hasten resolution of symptoms, after which oral appliance use may be resumed. Upon resumption of wear, it may be useful to decrease the amount of mandibular advancement and proceed at a slower titration rate until therapeutic benefit is achieved.

In rare instances, it may be appropriate to advance the oral appliance. The decision to advance the appliance may come from subjective information such as the patient reporting continued snoring or nonrestorative sleep. Objective data from home sleep apnea testing or polysomnography revealing continued apneas and/or hypopneas may also indicate the need for advancement or further evaluation and treatment planning.

Recommendation of a different oral appliance design may be necessary if the clinician judges that muscle tenderness is a result of an appliance design that maintains the jaws in a rigid relationship. When choosing an oral appliance design, it may be appropriate to consider appliance designs that permit lateral movement of the jaws if a patient has evidence of lateral bruxism.

The practitioner may also consider referral to an additional health care provider such as a physical therapist to help alleviate muscle tenderness. If, after repeating the TMJ examination, the clinician is unable to determine the cause of muscle tenderness, referral to a dentist who has undergone advanced education in facial pain may be appropriate.¹⁴ Additionally, it is important to recognize that some pain conditions are exacerbated by comorbid conditions and/or changes in the effectiveness of medications such as selective serotonin reuptake inhibitors; thus, consultation with the patient's primary care provider, local treating physician, or other medical specialist may be necessary to appropriately manage muscle tenderness secondary to OAT.

If none of the aforementioned options serve to manage the patient's muscle tenderness sufficiently to continue with OAT, permanent discontinuation of OAT may be necessary.

Joint Sounds

"Watchful waiting is considered first-line treatment to manage joint sounds caused as a result of using an oral appliance. If this treatment option is insufficient or inappropriate, temporary or permanent discontinuation of oral appliance therapy may also be considered." TMJ sounds secondary to OAT are usually transient and resolve with time.^{9,15,16} When they occur, first-line treatment is watchful waiting. This involves recording the type and location of the sounds and what movement or activity elicits the sounds. Patient reassurance and counseling includes a frank discussion about the uncertainty of joint sound resolution, either with continued use of the oral appliance or after discontinuation. If the joint sounds are accompanied by persistent TMJ pain, however, temporary or permanent discontinuation of the oral appliance may be warranted.

Intraoral Tissue-Related Side Effects

Soft Tissue and Tongue Irritation

"Palliative care and appliance modification are considered first-line treatments to manage soft tissue and tongue irritation side effects. Temporarily discontinuing use of the oral appliance is considered second-line treatment. If these treatment options are insufficient or inappropriate, orthodontic wax and switching to a different oral appliance design may also be considered appropriate."

Intraoral soft-tissue side effects including tongue irritation related to OAT are usually transient and minor if addressed promptly.^{17,18} Mechanical trauma of the soft tissue is not unique to oral appliances used to treat sleep apnea. It commonly occurs with other oral devices such as dentures and orthodontic appliances. Techniques for treating softtissue issues and tongue irritation related to these other dental appliances will also be applicable to appliances used to treat sleep apnea. Palliative care, in addition to the options mentioned in the Common Management Considerations section, includes patient reassurance and application of topical medications. Appliance modification should focus on recontouring the appliance material to remove sharp, protruding, or offensive features that may impinge on the soft tissues. It may also involve the addition of material for the purpose of creating a physical protective barrier or more physiologic contour.

In infrequent instances, orthodontic wax may be recommended for use by the patient as needed over intrusive appliance components that cannot be recontoured or removed.

If intraoral soft-tissue side effects persist despite the aforementioned measures, consider discontinuing use of the oral appliance temporarily in order to remove the potential irritant and promote more rapid soft-tissue recovery. The patient should be encouraged to use continuous positive airway pressure or consult with their local treating physician about alternative OSA treatment during the oral appliance holiday. Use of the oral appliance is resumed after the offending tissue irritation has resolved.

In occasional circumstances, a different oral appliance design may be selected that positions device components in a way that interferes less with the soft tissues. **Gingival Irritation**

"Modification of the appliance and palliative care are considered first-line treatments to manage gingival irritation. Discontinuing oral appliance therapy temporarily is considered second-line treatment."

Appliance modification refers to removal of or adjustment to appliance material (such as acrylic or hardware) that may impinge on the gingival tissues. In addition to the options mentioned in the Common Management Considerations section, palliative care includes documentation of gingival health and attachment level.

If gingival irritation persists despite the aforementioned measures, it may be beneficial to discontinue use of the oral appliance temporarily in order to remove the potential irritant and promote more rapid gingival healing. The patient should be encouraged to use continuous positive airway pressure or consult with their local treating physician about alternative OSA treatment during the oral appliance holiday. Use of the oral appliance is resumed after the gingival irritation has resolved.

Excessive Salivation

"Watchful waiting is considered first-line treatment to manage excessive salivation/drooling. Modification to the appliance is considered second-line treatment. If these treatment options are insufficient or inappropriate, prescribing medications to decrease salivary input may also be appropriate."

Numerous studies have demonstrated that oral appliances are well tolerated despite excessive salivation/drooling and only rarely preclude use.¹⁹⁻²³ Excessive salivation is reported very often but generally decreases with time. Patients should be informed in advance of possible excessive salivation and helped to understand that it is typically transient over the first few weeks. Hypersalivation has not been associated with any specific appliance design. Reassurance often suffices to manage excessive salivation/drooling.

Excessive salivation/drooling as a side effect of OAT is generally benign and initial care can be very conservative. Watchful waiting entails recognizing the problematic annoyance to patients and reassuring them that in most cases this issue will subside in a matter of days or weeks. In some cases, when the problem is minimal, patients may simply accommodate to it. Documentation of findings should be included in the patient's record and follow-up of concerns at subsequent visits should occur and be recorded.

Modification to the appliance may be considered in certain instances if it appears that the shape or design of the appliance may be contributing to the excessive salivation/drooling. Decreasing vertical dimension may be appropriate when it is deemed that it will allow for more effective lip seal or greater ease in swallowing. In certain cases, a mouth shield or oral obturator can be added to the appliance to prevent seepage of oral fluids. Certain medications are known to decrease salivation and can be utilized if the practitioner is well versed in the use of such medications and is certain that the patient's medical history does not contraindicate such use. Consultation with the patient's local treating physician is advisable.

Dry Mouth

"Palliative care, watchful waiting, and decreasing vertical dimension of the device to encourage lip seal, are considered first-line treatments to manage dry mouth. Modification of the appliance and techniques for discouraging mouth breathing are considered second-line treatments. If these treatment options are insufficient or inappropriate, avoiding commercial mouth rinses with alcohol or peroxide, mouth-taping, and referring to an additional health care provider may also be considered appropriate."

Many studies have demonstrated that oral appliances are well tolerated despite dry mouth and only occasionally preclude use.^{19,20,23} Dry mouth is reported very often and may continue with time. Patients should be informed in advance of possible dry mouth, especially against the background of nasal airway resistance. Dry mouth was not associated with any specific appliance design.

Dry mouth as a side effect of OAT is generally benign and initial care can be very conservative. Watchful waiting entails recognizing the problematic annoyance to patients and reassuring them that in most cases this issue may subside in a matter of days or weeks, or they may simply accommodate to it. When patients are struggling to continue appliance use due to dry mouth, conservative palliative care can be initiated by decreasing vertical dimension of the appliance to encourage lip seal or keeping water by the bed for adequate hydration during the night.

When it is believed that medications are responsible for dry mouth, consultation with the patient's local treating physician may be beneficial to see if medications can be changed. Limiting tobacco, alcohol, caffeine, and sugary/acidic foods prior to bedtime may be effective in preventing dry mouth during sleep. Similarly, avoidance of commercial mouth rinses with alcohol and peroxide may be effective.

Techniques for discouraging mouth breathing can be considered in certain instances. When nasal airway resistance appears to be leading to mouth breathing during sleep, evaluation and treatment by an otolaryngologist may be effective. If the nasal airway is patent and the patient is amenable, suitable medical tape may be placed over the lips to prevent excessive lip separation. It is prudent to place the tape vertically over the lips to allow passage of air around the sides of the tape should mouth breathing become necessary.

Occlusal Changes

Altered Occlusal Contacts/Bite Changes

"Watchful waiting, jaw stretching exercises, and use of a morning occlusal guide are considered first-line treatments to manage altered occlusal contacts or bite changes. Chewing hard gum in the mornings and making modifications to the appliance are considered second-line treatments. If these treatment options are insufficient or inappropriate, discontinuing oral appliance therapy temporarily or permanently may also be appropriate."

A direct relationship has been demonstrated between the amount of protrusion and the magnitude of the forces sustained by the dental structures. Forces to the maxilla from the oral appliance are directed distally and intrusively to the posterior segments. However, forces to the mandible are directed anteriorly and intrusively to the anterior segments. These force vectors help to explain the occlusal and skeletal side effects associated with the use of oral appliances.²⁴ The clinician should strive for conservative titration of the appliance, because it has been demonstrated that the number of side effects can be larger, starting at 50% protrusion position.⁶ Moreover, research shows that 50% and 75% protrusion can be equally effective in groups of patients with mild to moderate OSA.²⁵

Development of posterior open bites is a common occurrence with OAT.^{9,18,26-30} In a 5-year follow-up study of 45 patients, Ueda et al. noted that the number of occlusal contacts decreased in 67% of patients.²⁸ Most of these changes occurred in the premolar and molar regions. In a study of 51 patients using oral appliances, Doff et al. recorded a significant decrease in the number of posterior occlusal contacts after 2 years of OAT.³⁰ Patients tolerate or are even unaware of such changes and do not discontinue treatment as a consequence.^{9,26,27,31,32}

Initial care is usually conservative and includes watchful waiting. Although there is very little literature addressing the use of any method to prevent or correct the amount of occlusal changes, the daily usage of the morning occlusal guide is recommended.

Jig exercises and jaw stretching exercises can also be used, as described by Ueda et al.³³ Jaw exercises may relieve masticatory muscle stiffness and accelerate the repositioning of the mandible to the normal position, in addition to preventing or minimizing the occlusal functional changes in susceptible patients.³³ Anecdotal evidence suggests that chewing gum in the morning may help reestablish habitual occlusion and is suggested as second-line therapy because chewing gum has potentially very few side effects.³⁴

In other instances, modification of the appliance by strategic acrylic relief can be considered if altered occlusal contacts appear to be caused by an ill-fitting appliance or if the clinician seeks to reduce the pressure on specific teeth to prevent or minimize potential bite changes.

At times it may be appropriate to temporarily or permanently discontinue OAT. Discontinuation of OAT should only be considered if an alternative treatment is acceptable.¹²

In all cases, decisions to accept or to correct the occlusal changes should be guided by the extent of the problem, acceptability of treatment alternatives, and the concerns of the patient.

Incisor Changes

"Watchful waiting, use of a morning occlusal guide and modification to the appliance are considered first-line treatments to manage incisor angulation and position changes. If these treatment options are insufficient or inappropriate, recommending a different oral appliance design and discontinuing oral appliance therapy permanently may also be appropriate treatment options."

Among the earliest and persistently reported alterations in occlusion secondary to OAT were changes in maxillary and mandibular incisor position and angulation.^{4,18,35-38} Pliska et al. reported that anterior crossbites of at least 1 tooth, but more commonly of 4 anterior teeth, occurred in 62% of patients followed for an average of 11 years.³⁹ Changes in incisor angulation are difficult to quantify without lateral cephalograms, but alterations in incisor anteroposterior position can be documented by serial diagnostic casts.

Changes in incisor angulation and position are generally manifested as changes in overjet and overbite that are perceived by patients and clinicians alike. First-line treatment includes watchful waiting. Modification to the appliance may also be considered first-line treatment to decrease pressure on the incisors. Forces from OAT are directed palatally to maxillary incisors and labially to mandibular incisors and increase nearly linearly with increases in mandibular advancement.²⁴ Relief of the acrylic contacting the labial surfaces of maxillary incisors and lingual surfaces of mandibular incisors may reduce reciprocal forces on the incisors while wearing an oral appliance. For patients with shallow overbites and minimal overjet, similar acrylic modification to Klearway appliances has been recommended.¹³

Occasionally, it may be necessary to change to a different oral appliance design to decrease or eliminate undesirable forces on the incisors. If the incisor changes are unacceptable and previous treatments are ineffective, permanent discontinuation of OAT may be necessary, but not before consultation with the patient's local treating physician to ensure treatment alternatives to manage OSA are in place.

Decreased Overjet and Overbite

"Watchful waiting, isometric contraction and passive jaw stretching exercises, and use of a morning occlusal guide are considered first-line treatments to manage decreased overjet and overbite. Chewing hard gum in the morning is considered second-line treatment."

Studies suggest a likelihood as high as 85.7% of a decrease in overjet and overbite in patients managed with OAT.⁴ Although patients are often unaware of and tolerant of these changes, patients must nonetheless be informed of these risks prior to initiating OAT.

Due to patient acceptance of general changes in overjet and overbite, initial management is usually conservative; first-line treatment consists of watchful waiting. Morning occlusal guides are considered first-line treatment for decreased overjet and overbite and are widely used. Firstline treatment also includes the use of isometric and passive jaw stretching exercises, which may facilitate reestablishment of habitual occlusion.³³

Chewing hard gum, bilaterally, is recommended as secondline treatment. Though only anecdotal evidence supports this recommendation, this may be an effective treatment to accomplish the same objectives as mandibular exercises.³⁴

Alterations in Position of Mandibular Canines and Molars

"Watchful waiting and use of a morning occlusal guide are considered first-line treatments to manage altered positions of mandibular canines and molars."

In the early 2000s, mesial shifting of mandibular molars and canines was recognized as a side effect of OAT in follow-up studies of up to 2.5 years.^{19,27,40,41} Analysis of plaster study casts,^{4,27,42} cephalometric radiographs, and 3-dimensional computer-assisted study model analysis noted mesial shifting of the canines and molars in as many as 27% of subjects.^{13,28,43} In most of these studies, oral appliances completely covered the dentition, and yet dental alterations occurred regardless.^{4,19,41} In a study of an oral appliance fabricated from either soft elastomeric material or hard acrylic, significant mesial shifting of first molars and premolars occurred in both groups, although the change was greater in the hard acrylic group.⁴¹

Other alterations in the positions of the molars and canines have been noted and include changes in arch width and canine rotations. Changes varied by arch, right or left side position, and Angle classification.^{4,13,41} Alterations in molar and canine position continue with prolonged OAT.^{13,39} Although altered canine and molar positioning may develop in many patients, occlusal changes led to patient nonadherence in only 12.4% of patients surveyed at follow-up after an average of 5.7 years.⁴⁴

Watchful waiting is the first-line treatment of occlusal changes, and evaluation of the patient's dental alignment should continue as long as the patient is using the oral appliance. Evaluations are suggested every 6 months for the first year, and reevaluation at least annually thereafter.⁴⁵ If the changes are of concern to the patient, alternative therapies should be reviewed with the patient. If the patient declines to continue OAT, the local treating physician should be notified to ensure continued appropriate management of the patient's OSA.

Morning occlusal guides are also considered first-line therapy for management of the mesial shift of mandibular canines and molars. They may also be used as a record of the patient's pretreatment habitual occlusion.

Inter-Proximal Gaps

"Watchful waiting, use of a morning occlusal guide, adjusting ball clasps and making modifications to the appliance are considered first-line treatments to manage interproximal gaps. If these treatment options are insufficient or inappropriate, use of a distal wrap-around retainer and restoration of contact areas may be appropriate."

Open interproximal contacts serve as food traps and may concern patients. Development of open contacts has been documented with OAT and is associated with longer oral appliance use.⁴ They occur with greater frequency in patients who are Angle Class 1 and are more prevalent in the mandibular arch.^{4,42}

First-line treatment includes watchful waiting and the use of a morning occlusal guide to prevent occlusal changes.

If the oral appliance relies on ball clasps for retention, adjustment or removal of retentive clasps may decrease the occurrence of interdental gaps, but it is noteworthy that interproximal gaps have occurred even when the device was acrylic retained and did not utilize ball clasps.⁴²

Modification of the device may include adding a small amount of base material to strategic areas of the oral appliance in an effort to reposition the teeth to close open contacts and counteract the forces placed on these teeth by mandibular advancement. For example, placement of material on the oral appliance lingual to the maxillary incisors, labial to the mandibular incisors, or distal to the last teeth in the arch are strategies to accomplish this effect. Judicious reduction of interproximal acrylic "fins" that aid in retention may also decrease the occurrence of interproximal gaps by reducing the interproximal forces from the wedging effect of these retentive fins.

Daytime use of a distal wrap-around retainer, such as a vacuum-formed acrylic splint, to maintain or recapture initial tooth position may also be considered. An orthodontic-type retainer with a distal wrap-around spring may also be effective in closing or preventing interproximal gaps.

If appliance modification is not effective and a periodontal problem develops or the patient continues to complain about food trapping, restoration of the contact area may be required to prevent loss of periodontal support of the teeth. However, because continued use of OAT may lead to re-creating the interproximal spaces, a restorative approach may not be an effective long-term solution.⁴

Damage to Teeth or Restorations

Tooth Mobility

"Palliative care and modifying the appliance are considered first-line treatments to manage tooth mobility. Decreasing the titration rate is considered second-line treatment. If these treatment options are insufficient or inappropriate, daytime/fixed splinting of teeth may also be appropriate."

Palliative care may be sufficient for managing discomfort associated with tooth mobility, tooth tenderness, gingival discomfort, and hypersensitivity. Nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drugs or other pain relievers may be used to manage the pain of mobility. Modification of the internal surface of the device in the area of tooth mobility may be necessary to alleviate the discomfort as well as to reduce mobility. The use of various fit-checking materials can help identify areas of increased pressure on affected teeth. Decreasing the oral appliance advancement rate during initial calibration may allow adaptation to the forces of protrusion that are transmitted to the teeth.

Temporary discontinuation of OAT may be helpful in alleviating discomfort associated with the mobile teeth. Palliative measures may hasten resolution of symptoms, after which oral appliance use may be resumed. Upon resumption of wear, it may be useful to decrease the amount of mandibular advancement and proceed at a slower titration rate until therapeutic benefit is achieved. The elimination or modification of anterior ramps, if used on the opposing arch, may also be helpful. Tooth mobility that is detected after the appliance has been advanced to the target protrusion may be addressed by temporarily reducing the protrusive position to allow mobile teeth to adapt to the forces and potentially stabilize before resuming gradual return to the target protrusion.

If mobility does not respond to aforementioned treatments, daytime use of a pressure or vacuum-formed clear retainer, or alternatively bonded resin splinting, may be considered in cases of persistent tooth mobility. Changing to a different oral appliance design may ultimately be necessary.

Tooth Fractures or Damage to Dental Restorations

"Modifying the appliance and referral to a general/ restorative dentist are considered first-line treatments to manage tooth fractures or damage to dental restorations. If these treatment options are insufficient or inappropriate, recommending a different oral appliance design may also be appropriate."

Fractures and damage to restorations or teeth may be a direct result of the stresses on the teeth and restorations caused by appliance clasps or other forms of retention. These may also occur indirectly from OAT as a result of changes to the bite, causing increased stresses on the dentition, especially on anterior teeth.

Bite changes from long-term OAT include reduction of overjet that may result in an increase in forces on anterior teeth, causing chipping or fractures.⁴⁶ Although anecdotal evidence supports the occurrence of occasional fracture of teeth or restorations, no published studies were identified that describe the frequency of this side effect.

If the dental sleep medicine dentist is also the patient's general or restorative dentist, treatment of tooth chipping or fractures may involve conservative recontouring of rough edges, bonding, or more definitive restoration when warranted. When dental damage occurs, particular attention should be paid to possible occlusal prematurities emerging as a result of the changing overjet/overbite relationship. Selective occlusal adjustment may be considered to reduce the risk of additional chipping or fractures.

When damage to teeth is the direct result of stresses from the appliance, the internal surface of the appliance should be modified to eliminate forces that potentially caused the fracture of the tooth and/or dental restoration. Any clasps or tight-fitting acrylic adjacent to the damaged tooth or restoration should be adjusted to eliminate stress on that portion of the tooth structure or restoration. This area of the appliance should also be modified sufficiently to permit proper restorative treatment and to reduce the possibility of recurrence.

Ultimately, if the dental sleep medicine dentist is not also the patient's general or restorative dentist, the patient should be referred to their primary dental care provider if restoration of the dentition is needed for cosmetic or functional reasons.

If the appliance design or material has contributed to the fracture of a tooth or dental restoration, a different appliance design and/or material may be indicated to redirect force vectors and retention features from the damaged area.

Appliance Issues

Appliance Breakage

"Repairing or replacing the appliance is considered first-line treatment to manage appliance breakage. If these treatment options are insufficient or inappropriate, recommending a different oral appliance design may also be appropriate."

Appliance breakage is a relatively common problem across the field of dental sleep medicine. Some appliances may be more prone to these problems, and it behooves the prescriber to gain experience and knowledge to help avoid and/or mitigate this treatment complication. Several articles describe appliance breakage or broken components (clasps, acrylic flanges, etc.).^{32,47,48} In a 2-year follow-up study of patients treated with a Herbst appliance, Battagel and Kotecha reported that 60% had experienced appliance breakage with subsequent repair and 40% required a replacement appliance.³² Martínez-Gomis et al. noted that most breakages occurred in the telescopic mechanism of the Herbst appliance.⁴⁷

When an oral appliance has suffered wear or breakage due to fatigue or acute stress, the clinician must judge if repair of the defective appliance is feasible or, if not, recommend replacement of the device. If appliance breakage occurs repeatedly, further investigation is warranted to determine if the underlying cause of the breakage is due to patient behavior or anatomic variation that may be incompatible with that appliance design. If so, replacement of the oral appliance with a different design would be appropriate.

Allergies to Appliance Materials

"Removing the allergenic material and temporary discontinuation of oral appliance use are considered first-line treatments to manage allergies to appliance material. If these treatment options are insufficient or inappropriate, referring to another health care provider may also be considered as a treatment option." It may be difficult at times to recognize that intolerance to OAT may be due to an allergic response to appliance materials. Moreover, a patient may perceive an allergic response when none actually exists. The clinician will need to distinguish if a true allergic reaction has occurred or if the symptoms are caused by pressure irritation or other irritation from the device or its components. Sometimes the patient will report mucosal dryness, redness, or irritation and mistake these conditions as an allergic response to the appliance.⁸

If the offending allergen can be identified, through allergy testing if necessary, the clinician should ascertain if the appliance can be fabricated without the allergenic material, or replace the appliance with a different design that is fabricated with nonallergenic materials. For example, nickel, a common component in stainless steel, may elicit a hypersensitivity reaction within the first week in some patients. Altering the appliance by substitution of nonallergenic metals such as chrome, gold, and titanium should also be considered.

If the allergenic material cannot be identified, the dentist should inquire about the new or ongoing use of adjunctive intraoral products that might cause the reaction. Such products include but are not limited to toothpastes, mouth rinses, or lozenges. Inquiry regarding materials used to clean the device may also lead to identification of allergens, as common devicecleaning agents can be noxious and offensive to the soft tissues.

Note that some tissue reactions might occur that are not true allergies. If these irritations are significant enough, however, they need to be managed in the same manner as an allergen. Methyl methacrylate acrylic is a common substance used in the fabrication of most oral appliances. If a device is manufactured with inadequate curing (heat/pressure), the material is more porous, less dense, and contains more unlinked monomer. In susceptible individuals, methyl methacrylate acrylic may cause irritation, which can be exacerbated by inadequately cured acrylic.

It is always prudent, if simple measures are ineffective at relieving the irritation/reaction, to refer the patient to another health care provider such as an allergist or dermatologist, or where unavailable, an otolaryngologist or primary care physician for clinical evaluation and testing.

Gagging

"Modification to the appliance is considered first-line treatment to manage gagging. Deprogramming the gag reflex is considered second-line treatment. If these treatment options are insufficient or inappropriate, recommendation of a different oral appliance design may also be appropriate."

Initiation of the gag reflex may be elicited by an oral appliance. Some patients describe this sensation as a feeling of bulkiness from the appliance causing "choking" and "difficulty breathing."⁴⁹ Difficulty with swallowing might also activate the gag reflex. In addition, appliances that hold the mandible rigidly may precipitate feelings of anxiety, gagging or panic.

First-line treatment to help mitigate gagging symptoms include modifications to the oral appliance acrylic to decrease

its bulk by thinning the acrylic or trimming it back to the level of the cementoenamel junction if this can be accomplished without affecting appliance retention.⁵⁰ Second-line treatment includes desensitization techniques. Use of anesthetic rinse, spray, or gel may alleviate the initial sense of crowding or eliminate the soft-tissue triggers that may give rise to gagging. These as well as other desensitizing techniques may be managed directly by the dental provider or with the help of those more specifically trained in these areas. Cognitive behavioral therapy may also be effective, managed by those specifically trained in its use.

If appliance modifications and/or desensitization techniques fail to resolve the gagging, the practitioner may consider different oral appliance designs that are less bulky, provide more tongue space, permit free lateral movement of the mandible, or allow uninhibited opening and closing.

Anxiety

"Watchful waiting and use of desensitization techniques are considered first-line treatments to manage anxiety. If these treatment options are insufficient or inappropriate, recommending a different oral appliance design and referring to a different health care provider may also be appropriate."

If a specific device holds the mandible tightly in an immovable position, feelings of anxiety, gagging, or panic may ensue. A common phrase within the literature to describe anxiety as a side effect of OAT was the sense of a "suffocation" that led to discontinuation of oral appliance use.⁴⁴ "Choking" and "difficulty breathing" were also noted by some researchers to yield levels of anxiousness sufficient to discontinue OAT.⁴⁹

When anxiety presents as a side effect of OAT, watchful waiting may suffice in order to provide the patient an opportunity to accommodate to the appliance. Desensitization techniques may also prove helpful. One technique consists of asking the patient to wear the appliance for a specified time, such as 1 hour, prior to bedtime until the patient establishes an acceptable level of tolerance for the appliance.

A different oral appliance design may be necessary as some features may be more tolerable for anxiety-prone patients. Examples include appliances that allow free lateral movement of the mandible or uninhibited jaw opening and closing or appliances with less bulk that may facilitate easier swallowing.

If success is not achieved through any of the preceding recommendations, it would be prudent to work with the local treating physician to consider alternative definitive or adjunctive therapy including surgery.

SUMMARY OF THE LITERATURE

The recommendations of the consensus panel on the management of the side effects of OAT are based on their clinical expertise and experience and a body of literature that included more than 140 articles. The articles included 29 randomized controlled trials in addition to numerous prospective cohort studies, retrospective studies, reviews, systematic reviews, and meta-analyses. The studies spanned a period of more than 20 years of research on OAT from 1992⁵¹ to 2016.⁵² The findings represent diverse populations: Europe,¹² North America,⁹ New Zealand,⁵³ Australia,⁵⁴ Asia,⁵⁵ and South America.⁵

Side effects were recorded from studies comparing one appliance design to another,^{17,18,50,55,56} OAT to continuous positive airway pressure,^{37,57-60} different protrusive positions in the same appliance,^{6,7} OAT versus placebo,⁵⁴ and OAT versus uvulopalatopharyngoplasty.²⁵ The literature included a report on side effects in subjects who had been wearing an oral appliance for a minimum of 8 years³⁹ and others in whom use of an oral appliance had been at least 2 years.^{11,18,30,40,61} Most side effect reports were derived from patient self-report through questions at examination, mail or phone questionnaires, or a combination of these methods. The reporting periods ranged from several days after commencing OAT to several years. Side effects that are quantifiable have been extensively and systematically studied using imaging techniques^{27,62,63} or analysis of dental casts.^{13,39,41,42}

Although most studies describe the type and frequency of side effects, only a few comment on strategies used to mitigate the side effects, and informative details are lacking.^{55,56,62,64,65} Even fewer studies investigate interventions to minimize side effects.^{5,29,33}

Reports of discomfort or pain in the teeth, muscles, TMJ, tongue, or other oral structures are common. However, only a limited number of studies describe using structured clinical examination methods to evaluate the prevalence and/or incidence of dysfunction and/or pain in the TMJ, muscles of mastication, and teeth or oral structures.^{9,11,12,25,40,61,66-69}

Although research in the field of dental sleep medicine has advanced considerably over the past two decades, more information is needed to develop evidence-based guidelines on the most effective treatment options to manage the side effects of OAT for sleep-disordered breathing.

DISCUSSION AND FUTURE DIRECTIONS

Some side effects of OAT are common, causing permanent alterations in dental occlusion and, less often, soft-tissue or TMJ pain, which may negatively affect long-term adherence with therapy. Although guidelines exist for long-term followup of all patients using OAT to treat OSA-a lifelong disease with age-related increase in severity-sparse information is available to guide clinicians on how to address side effects related to OAT. The current literature is rife with descriptions of side effects but is lacking in the clarification of causative factors and methods to minimize these adverse effects. Few published data clarify what interventions are most effective, and the recommendations offered are rarely evidence driven. Available studies suggest that side effects may be related to oral appliance design, materials, and amount of mandibular advancement, and long-term studies describe a progressive increase in occlusal side effects with ongoing use of OAT.

Current evidence supports watchful waiting as the major treatment for OAT-related side effects unless discomfort is

present. Most interventions are palliative, involve modification of the oral appliance, or require no active therapy. Many of the side effects were thought to be best addressed prophylactically with use of a morning occlusal guide to help prevent occlusal alterations or to minimize transient muscle contraction. However, it must be noted that despite the widespread use of this technique, no evidence to date has demonstrated its effectiveness.

At this conference, consensus on recommended treatment options was reached among the panelists based on limited empirical evidence. Decisions were often informed by clinical experience and the results of an online survey of practitioners of dental sleep medicine. It is anticipated that these recommendations will highlight specific questions that need clarification and will encourage researchers to design studies to advance the field.

Standardization of both the definition of OAT success as well as clinical and outcome measures in OAT research would enable meaningful comparison across studies. Investigation is needed to clarify factors that lead to the onset and progression of side effects such as appliance design features, appliance materials, vertical and sagittal mandibular positioning, and duration of OAT. Anthropomorphic and imaging studies may help identify patients at greater risk for the occurrence of side effects.

Ultimately an understanding of how the management of OAT side effects influences OAT adherence will ensure that patients with sleep-disordered breathing are optimally treated. More evidence is needed to identify the most effective strategies for minimizing or preventing the occurrence of untoward side effects. Outcomes of research that focuses on these issues are expected to lead to revisions of these recommendations in the future.

These recommendations have been endorsed by the AADSM.

REFERENCES

- 1. Ramar K, Dort LC, Katz SG, et al. Clinical practice guideline for the treatment of obstructive sleep apnea and snoring with oral appliance therapy: an update for 2015. *J Clin Sleep Med.* 2015;11(7):773–827.
- 2. Katz S, Pancer J, Dort L. Treatment Complications: Notes from the Fall 2009 Advanced Course in Oral Appliance Therapy. *Dialogue*. 2010;(2):20–22.
- 3. Fitch K, Bernstein SJ, Aguilar MS, et al. *The RAND/UCLA Appropriateness Method User's Manual*. Santa Monica, CA: RAND Corporation; 2001.
- 4. Almeida FR, Lowe AA, Otsuka R, Fastlicht S, Farbood M, Tsuiki S. Long-term sequellae of oral appliance therapy in obstructive sleep apnea patients: Part 2. Study-model analysis. *Am J Orthod Dentofacial Orthop.* 2006;129(2):205–213.
- 5. Cunali PA, Almeida FR, Santos CD, et al. Mandibular exercises improve mandibular advancement device therapy for obstructive sleep apnea. *Sleep Breath*. 2011;15(4):717–727.
- 6. Aarab G, Lobbezoo F, Hamburger HL, Naeije M. Effects of an oral appliance with different mandibular protrusion positions at a constant vertical dimension on obstructive sleep apnea. *Clin Oral Investig.* 2010;14(3):339–345.
- 7. Tegelberg A, Walker-Engstrom ML, Vestling O, Wilhelmsson B. Two different degrees of mandibular advancement with a dental appliance in treatment of patients with mild to moderate obstructive sleep apnea. *Acta Odontol Scand.* 2003;61(6):356–362.
- 8. Chen H, Lowe AA. Updates in oral appliance therapy for snoring and obstructive sleep apnea. *Sleep Breath*. 2013;17(2):473–486.

- 9. Perez CV, de Leeuw R, Okeson JP, et al. The incidence and prevalence of temporomandibular disorders and posterior open bite in patients receiving mandibular advancement device therapy for obstructive sleep apnea. *Sleep Breath*. 2013;17(1):323–332.
- Cohen-Levy J, Garcia R, Petelle B, Fleury B. Treatment of the obstructive sleep apnea syndrome in adults by mandibular advancement device: the state of the art. *Int Orthod.* 2009;7(3):287–304.
- 11. Fransson AM, Tegelberg A, Leissner L, Wenneberg B, Isacsson G. Effects of a mandibular protruding device on the sleep of patients with obstructive sleep apnea and snoring problems: a 2-year follow-up. *Sleep Breath*. 2003;7(3):131–141.
- 12. Doff MH, Veldhuis SK, Hoekema A, et al. Long-term oral appliance therapy in obstructive sleep apnea syndrome: a controlled study on temporomandibular side effects. *Clin Oral Investig.* 2012;16(3):689–697.
- Chen H, Lowe AA, de Almeida FR, Fleetham JA, Wang B. Threedimensional computer-assisted study model analysis of long-term oral-appliance wear. Part 2. Side effects of oral appliances in obstructive sleep apnea patients. *Am J Orthod Dentofacial Orthop.* 2008;134(3):408–417.
- 14. Spencer J, Patel M, Mehta N, et al. Special consideration regarding the assessment and management of patients being treated with mandibular advancement oral appliance therapy for snoring and obstructive sleep apnea. *Cranio.* 2013;31(1):10–13.
- Lowe AA, Sjoholm TT, Ryan CF, Fleetham JA, Ferguson KA, Remmers JE. Treatment, airway and compliance effects of a titratable oral appliance. *Sleep.* 2000;23 Suppl 4:S172–S178.
- Ingman T, Arte S, Bachour A, Back L, Makitie A. Predicting compliance for mandible advancement splint therapy in 96 obstructive sleep apnea patients. *Eur J Orthod.* 2013;35(6):752–757.
- Bloch KE, Iseli A, Zhang JN, et al. A randomized, controlled crossover trial of two oral appliances for sleep apnea treatment. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med.* 2000;162(1):246–251.
- Vezina JP, Blumen MB, Buchet I, Hausser-Hauw C, Chabolle F. Does propulsion mechanism influence the long-term side effects of oral appliances in the treatment of sleep-disordered breathing? *Chest.* 2011;140(5):1184–1191.
- Fritsch KM, Iseli A, Russi EW, Bloch KE. Side effects of mandibular advancement devices for sleep apnea treatment. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med.* 2001;164(5):813–818.
- Lazard DS, Blumen M, Levy P, et al. The tongue-retaining device: efficacy and side effects in obstructive sleep apnea syndrome. *J Clin Sleep Med.* 2009;5(5):431–438.
- McGown AD, Makker HK, Battagel JM, L'Estrange PR, Grant HR, Spiro SG. Long-term use of mandibular advancement splints for snoring and obstructive sleep apnoea: a questionnaire survey. *Eur Respir J.* 2001;17(3):462–466.
- 22. Pancer J, Al-Faifi S, Al-Faifi M, Hoffstein V. Evaluation of variable mandibular advancement appliance for treatment of snoring and sleep apnea. *Chest.* 1999;116(6):1511–1518.
- Pantin CC, Hillman DR, Tennant M. Dental side effects of an oral device to treat snoring and obstructive sleep apnea. *Sleep.* 1999;22(2):237–240.
- Cohen-Levy J, Petelle B, Pinguet J, Limerat E, Fleury B. Forces created by mandibular advancement devices in OSAS patients: a pilot study during sleep. *Sleep Breath.* 2013;17(2):781–789.
- 25. Tegelberg A, Wilhelmsson B, Walker-Engstrom ML, et al. Effects and adverse events of a dental appliance for treatment of obstructive sleep apnoea. *Swed Dent J.* 1999;23(4):117–126.
- 26. Rose EC, Schnegelsberg C, Staats R, Jonas IE. Occlusal side effects caused by a mandibular advancement appliance in patients with obstructive sleep apnea. *Angle Orthod.* 2001;71(6):452–460.
- 27. Rose EC, Staats R, Virchow C Jr, Jonas IE. Occlusal and skeletal effects of an oral appliance in the treatment of obstructive sleep apnea. *Chest.* 2002;122(3):871–877.
- Ueda H, Almeida FR, Lowe AA, Ruse ND. Changes in occlusal contact area during oral appliance therapy assessed on study models. *Angle Orthod.* 2008;78(5):866–872.
- 29. Marklund M, Legrell PE. An orthodontic oral appliance. *Angle Orthod.* 2010;80(6):1116–1121.

- 30. Doff MH, Hoekema A, Wijkstra PJ, et al. Oral appliance versus continuous positive airway pressure in obstructive sleep apnea syndrome: a 2-year follow-up. *Sleep.* 2013;36(9):1289–1296.
- Marklund M, Sahlin C, Stenlund H, Persson M, Franklin KA. Mandibular advancement device in patients with obstructive sleep apnea : long-term effects on apnea and sleep. *Chest.* 2001;120(1):162–169.
- Battagel JM, Kotecha B. Dental side-effects of mandibular advancement splint wear in patients who snore. *Clin Otolaryngol.* 2005;30(2):149–156.
- 33. Ueda H, Almeida FR, Chen H, Lowe AA. Effect of 2 jaw exercises on occlusal function in patients with obstructive sleep apnea during oral appliance therapy: a randomized controlled trial. *Am J Orthod Dentofacial Orthop.* 2009;135(4):430.e1–430.e7; discussion 430–431.
- Ghazal A, Jonas IE, Rose EC. Dental side effects of mandibular advancement appliances - a 2-year follow-up. *J Orofac Orthop*. 2008;69(6):437–447.
- 35. Robertson CJ. Dental and skeletal changes associated with long-term mandibular advancement. *Sleep.* 2001;24(5):531–537.
- Hou HM, Sam K, Hägg U, et al. Long-term dentofacial changes in Chinese obstructive sleep apnea patients after treatment with a mandibular advancement device. *Angle Orthod.* 2006;76(3):432–440.
- Doff MH, Hoekema A, Pruim GJ, Huddleston Slater JJ, Stegenga B. Long-term oral-appliance therapy in obstructive sleep apnea: a cephalometric study of craniofacial changes. *J Dent.* 2010;38(12):1010–1018.
- 38. Wang X, Gong X, Yu Z, Gao X, Zhao Y. Follow-up study of dental and skeletal changes in patients with obstructive sleep apnea and hypopnea syndrome with long-term treatment with the Silensor appliance. *Am J Orthod Dentofacial Orthop.* 2015;147(5):559–565.
- 39. Pliska BT, Nam H, Chen H, Lowe AA, Almeida FR. Obstructive sleep apnea and mandibular advancement splints: occlusal effects and progression of changes associated with a decade of treatment. *J Clin Sleep Med.* 2014;10(12):1285–1291.
- Bondemark L, Lindman R. Craniomandibular status and function in patients with habitual snoring and obstructive sleep apnoea after nocturnal treatment with a mandibular advancement splint: a 2-year follow-up. *Eur J Orthod.* 2000;22(1):53–60.
- 41. Marklund M, Franklin KA, Persson M. Orthodontic side-effects of mandibular advancement devices during treatment of snoring and sleep apnoea. *Eur J Orthod*. 2001;23(2):135–144.
- Doff MH, Finnema KJ, Hoekema A, Wijkstra PJ, de Bont LG, Stegenga B. Long-term oral appliance therapy in obstructive sleep apnea syndrome: a controlled study on dental side effects. *Clin Oral Investig.* 2013;17(2):475–482.
- Almeida FR, Lowe AA, Sung JO, Tsuiki S, Otsuka R. Long-term sequellae of oral appliance therapy in obstructive sleep apnea patients: Part 1. Cephalometric analysis. *Am J Orthod Dentofacial Orthop.* 2006;129(2):195–204.
- 44. de Almeida FR, Lowe AA, Tsuiki S, et al. Long-term compliance and side effects of oral appliances used for the treatment of snoring and obstructive sleep apnea syndrome. *J Clin Sleep Med*. 2005;1(2):143–152.
- 45. AADSM Treatment Protocol: Oral Appliance Therapy for Sleep Disordered Breathing: An Update for 2013. American Academy of Dental Sleep Medicine website. http://www. aadsm.org/treatmentprotocol.aspx. Published June 2013. Accessed August 23, 2017.
- 46. Almeida FR, Henrich N, Marra C, et al. Patient preferences and experiences of CPAP and oral appliances for the treatment of obstructive sleep apnea: a qualitative analysis. *Sleep Breath*. 2013;17(2):659–666.
- Martinez-Gomis J, Willaert E, Nogues L, Pascual M, Somoza M, Monasterio C. Five years of sleep apnea treatment with a mandibular advancement device. Side effects and technical complications. *Angle Orthod.* 2010;80(1):30–36.
- Almeida FR, Lowe AA. Principles of oral appliance therapy for the management of snoring and sleep disordered breathing. Oral Maxillofac Surg Clin North Am. 2009;21(4):413–420.

- Neill A, Whyman R, Bannan S, Jeffrey O, Campbell A. Mandibular advancement splint improves indices of obstructive sleep apnoea and snoring but side effects are common. N Z Med J. 2002;115(1156):289–292.
- 50. Rose E, Staats R, Virchow C, Jonas IE. A comparative study of two mandibular advancement appliances for the treatment of obstructive sleep apnoea. *Eur J Orthod*. 2002;24(2):191–198.
- Nakazawa Y, Sakamoto T, Yasutake R, et al. Treatment of sleep apnea with prosthetic mandibular advancement (PMA). *Sleep.* 1992;15(6):499–504.
- 52. Serra-Torres S, Bellot-Arcis C, Montiel-Company JM, Marco-Algarra J, Almerich-Silla JM. Effectiveness of mandibular advancement appliances in treating obstructive sleep apnea syndrome: a systematic review. *Laryngoscope*. 2016;126(2):507–514.
- 53. Robertson C, Herbison P, Harkness M. Dental and occlusal changes during mandibular advancement splint therapy in sleep disordered patients. *Eur J Orthod* 2003;25(4):371–376.
- 54. Mehta A, Qian J, Petocz P, Darendeliler MA, Cistulli PA. A randomized, controlled study of a mandibular advancement splint for obstructive sleep apnea. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med.* 2001;163(6):1457–1461.
- 55. Zhou J, Liu YH. A randomised titrated crossover study comparing two oral appliances in the treatment for mild to moderate obstructive sleep apnoea/hypopnoea syndrome. *J Oral Rehabil.* 2012;39(12):914–922.
- 56. Lawton HM, Battagel JM, Kotecha B. A comparison of the Twin Block and Herbst mandibular advancement splints in the treatment of patients with obstructive sleep apnoea: a prospective study. *Eur J Orthod.* 2005;27(1):82–90.
- Ferguson KA, Ono T, Lowe AA, al-Majed S, Love LL, Fleetham JA. A short-term controlled trial of an adjustable oral appliance for the treatment of mild to moderate obstructive sleep apnoea. *Thorax.* 1997;52(4):362–368.
- Bennett LS, Davies RJ, Stradling JR. Oral appliances for the management of snoring and obstructive sleep apnoea. *Thorax.* 1998;53 Suppl 2:S58–S64.
- Engleman HM, McDonald JP, Graham D, et al. Randomized crossover trial of two treatments for sleep apnea/hypopnea syndrome: continuous positive airway pressure and mandibular repositioning splint. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med.* 2002;166(6):855–859.
- Aarab G, Lobbezoo F, Heymans MW, Hamburger HL, Naeije M. Long-term follow-up of a randomized controlled trial of oral appliance therapy in obstructive sleep apnea. *Respiration*. 2011;82(2):162–168.
- 61. Giannasi LC, Almeida FR, Magini M, et al. Systematic assessment of the impact of oral appliance therapy on the temporomandibular joint during treatment of obstructive sleep apnea: long-term evaluation. *Sleep Breath*. 2009;13(4):375–381.
- 62. de Almeida FR, Bittencourt LR, de Almeida CI, Tsuiki S, Lowe AA, Tufik S. Effects of mandibular posture on obstructive sleep apnea severity and the temporomandibular joint in patients fitted with an oral appliance. *Sleep.* 2002;25(5):507–513.
- 63. Ringqvist M, Walker-Engstrom ML, Tegelberg A, Ringqvist I. Dental and skeletal changes after 4 years of obstructive sleep apnea treatment with a mandibular advancement device: a prospective, randomized study. *Am J Orthod Dentofacial Orthop.* 2003;124(1):53–60.
- 64. Lam B, Sam K, Lam JC, Lai AY, Lam CL, Ip MS. The efficacy of oral appliances in the treatment of severe obstructive sleep apnea. *Sleep Breath*. 2011;15(2):195–201.
- 65. Walker-Engstrom ML, Ringqvist I, Vestling O, Wilhelmsson B, Tegelberg A. A prospective randomized study comparing two different degrees of mandibular advancement with a dental appliance in treatment of severe obstructive sleep apnea. *Sleep Breath*. 2003;7(3):119–130.
- 66. Fransson AM, Isacsson G, Leissner LC, Näsman AB, Alton MK. Treatment of snoring and obstructive sleep apnea with a mandibular protruding device: an open-label study. *Sleep Breath*. 2001;5(1):23–33.
- 67. Fransson AM, Tegelberg A, Johansson A, Wenneberg B. Influence on the masticatory system in treatment of obstructive sleep apnea and snoring with a mandibular protruding device: a 2-year follow-up. *Am J Orthod Dentofacial Orthop.* 2004;126(6):687–693.

- 68. Hammond RJ, Gotsopoulos H, Shen G, Petocz P, Cistulli PA, Darendeliler MA. A follow-up study of dental and skeletal changes associated with mandibular advancement splint use in obstructive sleep apnea. Am J Orthod Dentofacial Orthop. 2007;132(6):806–814.
- Ranieri AL, Jales SM, Formigoni GG, de Aloe FS, Tavares SM, Siqueira JT. Treatment of obstructive sleep apnea syndrome in patients from a teaching hospital in Brazil: is it possible? *Sleep Breath*. 2009;13(2):121–125.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The consensus conference chair and co-chair express their deep appreciation to the conference participants for their insight, expertise, and selfless commitment to this project; to the American Academy of Sleep Medicine Science and Research Department: Sarah Hashmi, MBBS, MSc, MPH, Project Manager; Jonathan L. Heald, MA, Director; and Rebecca Kartje, MD, MBA, Project Manager, for their patient guidance and invaluable support; to the American Academy of Dental Sleep Medicine and its staff: Randi Prince, Specialty Society Manager; and Jerome Barrett, Executive Director, for financial and administrative support; and for the contributions of the nonparticipating observers: Craig Ratner, DMD, American Dental Association; Terri J. Matthews, DMD, American Dental Education Association; and Scott Williams, MD, American Academy of Sleep Medicine. Attendance by these individuals does not constitute endorsement of this statement by their affiliated institutions or organizations.

SUBMISSION & CORRESPONDENCE INFORMATION

Submitted for publication July 19, 2017 Submitted in final revised form July 19, 2017 Accepted for publication August 2, 2017 Address correspondence to: Rose D. Sheats, University of North Carolina, Chapel Hill, Chapel Hill, NC; Tel: (919) 537-8003; Email: rsheatsl@nc.rr.com

DISCLOSURE STATEMENT

This was not an industry-supported study. The authors have indicated no financial conflicts of interest.